Typed or printed name

Ø 001

571-273-8300 CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 28 2005

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 09/826,355 Filing Date April 5, 2001 TRANSMITTAL First Named Inventor Dekana Lin **FORM** Art Unit 22654 **Examiner Name** Shortledge T. (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 328-2US Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Drawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition **|** Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidavits/declaration(s) Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer below): Extension of Time Request Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) A response to official action is attached. Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name **CUSTOMER NUMBER 020212** Signature IM Printed name ANTHONY R. LAMBERT Reg. No. 32,813 Date October 28, 2005 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature IA

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to tile (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

October 28, 2005

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

ANTHONY R. LAMBERT, REG. NO. 32,813, CUST. NO. 020212

PECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 28 2005

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia U.S.A.

Re:

Application Serial No. 09/826,355

Applicant: Dekang Lin and Patrick Pantel.

Title: Discovery of Inference Rules from Text

Art unit: 2654

Examiner: Shortledge, T. Filed: April 5, 2001

This is in response to the official action dated September 22, 2005.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1 -6 and 14-19 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Delugach et al. Claims 7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delugach et al as applied to claim 1 and further in view of the applicants' prior art. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delugach et al as applied to claim 1 and further in view of the applicants' prior art and further in view of Zadrozny et al. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Delugach et al has nothing to do with the subject of claim 1. In fact, Delugach et al does not include any of the elements of claim 1.

Claim 1 requires 'parsing text to identify paths formed by concatenating relationships between words in the text'. Delugach et al., on the other hand, does not deal with text at all. Instead, it takes as input a database schema, its constituent instances (if available) and addition human specified domain information (p56, col. 2, line 1). The database schema and instances are specified in either SQL or MSQL (p. 57, Section 2 line 2). SQL and MSQL are artificially designed computer languages for accessing databases and are totally unrelated to the claim.